

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/825,566 04/15/2004		4/15/2004	Kazuaki Nagamatsu	04970/0201153-US0	04970/0201153-US0 9119	
7278	7590	01/25/2006		EXAMINER		
DARBY & P. O. BOX 5		P.C.		BOEHLER, AN	NE MARIE M	
NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3611		

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/825,566	NAGAMATSU ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Anne Marie M. Boehler	3611				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in any be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Not</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Applicati	on Papers		7				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[ / a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment	t(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal Pa  6) Other:					

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Iwasa (USPN 6,823,962).

Iwasa shows a power steering apparatus with a steering shaft 3 and a nut member 5 screwed onto the shaft through rolling members at 6. A first housing H2b and a second housing H2a are coaxially connected and are fixed to the vehicle body. The nut member is supported in the first housing by a thrust bearing 14 (see the embodiment of Figure 8) and in the second housing member by a second, radial bearing 13.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/825,566

Art Unit: 3611

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lwasa in view of Sasaki (PGPub. 2003/0221896).

Iwasa shows a cylindrical motor support extension of the second housing receiving a motor transmission housing H1, but it lacks a loose fitting between the housing and motor transmission housing.

Sasaki shows a motor transmission housing 37B (see Figure 6) loosely fit in an extension 67 of steering shaft housing 19. An adjustment screw 51a penetrates the motor support cylinder 66 to apply adjusting force to the transmission housing to move the transmission housing and adjust the mesh state of the transmission.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the Iwasa motor housing with an adjustment mechanism, including a loose fit between the transmission housing and the steering shaft housing and a screw for adjusting the relative posision, as taught by Sasaki, in order to provide improved mesh within the gear transmission.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwasa in view of Onadera (USPN 6,237,713).

lwasa shows all of the claimed features except a pair of angular ball bearings placed back to back for the thrust bearing.

Onadera shows a thrust bearing 4 including a pair of angled ball bearings placed back to back.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to configure the lwasa thrust bearing to have two angler ball bearings, placed back to back, as taught by Onadera, in order to provide additional support for the nut.

- 6. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne Marie M. Boehler whose telephone number is 571-

Art Unit: 3611

272-6641. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Monday-Thursday, and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6612. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anne Marie M Boehler
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3611

amb